Development of the Atmospheric Transmission at Microwaves model (ATM)

Juan R. Pardo*, Astrobiology Center (CSIC-INTA, Spain)

* Developer of ATM for the ALMA TelCal Subsystem under an ESO contract

ISMAR Workshop September 29, 2015





General radiative transfer equation (using coordintates: z, $\mu = \cos(\theta)$, φ) $\mu \frac{dI(z, \mu, \varphi)}{dz} = K(z, \mu, \varphi)I(z, \mu, \varphi) - - \int_{-1}^{1} d\mu' \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi' Z(z, \mu, \varphi, \mu', \varphi')I(z, \mu', \varphi') - \sigma(z, \mu, \varphi)B[T(z)]$ $I=(I,Q,U,V)^{T} \text{ Radiation field (Stokes column vector)}$ K 4x4 extinction matrix Z 4x4 phase matrix (describing scattering) σ 4x1 emission column vector B Blackbody radiance at temperature T (due to LTE)

Frequency dependence is implicit

$$K_{i1}(z,\mu,\varphi) = \int_{-1}^{1} d\mu' \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi' Z_{i1}(z,\mu,\varphi,\mu',\varphi') + \sigma_i(z,\mu,\varphi), i = 1, \dots 4$$

Detailed energy balance

GOAL of ATM: To fully understand, describe solve this equation in the Earth's Atmosphere from 0 to 1.6 THz







Line strengths from quantum mechanics

Line profiles (line widths from laboratory)

Simple transmission model easy to build, BUT...



Today we know that the nature of "continuum-like" absorption in clear sky conditions is collision induced absorption involving pairs such as O_2-O_2 , N_2-N_2 , O_2-N_2 , O_2-H_2O and N_2-H_2O

Collision-induced absortion



Experimental basis for refined models: Direct measurements with FTS experiments at Mauna Kea, Chajnantor & Sout Pole



Characteristics of CSO-FTS

- Mounted on Cassegrain focus of telescope for dedicated obs. runs.
- Detector: ³He cooled
- Moving arm: 50 cm
- Filters: 7 different (165 to











 O_2

Paramagnetic molecule: Coupling of its permanent dipole moment with and external magnetic field causes ZEEMAN SPLITTING.

Modeling this effect is rather complex because of anisotropy, polarization, etc...





 $\Delta v(GHz) = 14.015 \cdot 10^{-6} H_{\mu T}(M_u + 2M_l)$

Transitions π (Δ M=0): Radiation linearly polarized in the direction of the geomagnetic field.

Transitions σ ($\Delta M=\pm 1$): Radiation circularly polarized (right-hand or left-hand in the plane perpendicular to the direction of the geomagnetic field.

We should expect differencies in the line profile depending on the line of sight, the type of polarization detected, and the orientation of our detector with the geomagnetic field.



Experiment at POM2. SIS receiver and autocorrelator providing 39 kHz resolution and 4.53 MHz of total band.





Hydrometeors contribution (absoprtion, scattering, phase...)



aerosols



Wet snow



snow

Liquid water



vater Hale

$$\mu \frac{dI(z,\mu,\varphi)}{dz} = K(z,\mu,\varphi)I(z,\mu,\varphi) - \int_{-1}^{1} d\mu' \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi' Z(z,\mu,\varphi,\mu',\varphi')I(z,\mu',\varphi') - \sigma(z,\mu,\varphi)B[T(z)]$$

In ATM:

• Phase Matrix calculations from M. I Mishchenko (prolate and oblate spheroids with azimuthaly random distribution, or T-matrix method for spheres.

- Refraction indexes from literature.
- RT using DDA method from Evans et al.
- Single scattering assumed within each layer.
- Lambertzian, Fresnel and other surface types.



i is it possible that T_BV-T_BH (K)<0?





Figure 2. Sensitivity of the 85 GHz polarization difference to the orientation of the non-spherical ice particles as a function of particle size. The orientation of the particles is random within α_0 from the vertical axis.

Atmospheric Phase fluctuations









Phase fluctuations: Correction using 183 GHz water vapor radiometers





Latest ATM work: CASPER experiment at Concordia Station, Antarctica (2015)





Latest ATM work: CASPER experiment at Concordia Station, Antarctica (2015)





Latest ATM work: CASPER experiment at Concordia Station, Antarctica (2015)



Next: We are going to make cross-comparisons with WVR and F-IR experiments on site.



