

# Titre: Caractérisation in situ de la phase glace

Julien Delanoë Christophe Duroure Régis Dupuy Guy Febvre Emmanuel Fontaine Christophe Gourbeyre Olivier Jourdan Delphine Leroy Guillaume Mioche Florestan Ogheard Alain Protat Alfons Schwarzenboeck









#### Ice nucleation

# Nucleation modes of ice in the athmosphere:

**Deposition:** 

**Immersion freezing:** 

Condensation freezing:

Contact freezing:

Homogeneous nucleation (ZINC / PINC) Deposition nucleation (ZINC / PINC) Immersion freezing (IMCA) Condensation freezing (ZINC / PINC) Condensation freezing (ZINC / PINC) Contact freezing (Collision) = heterogeneous ice nucleus (e.g. mineral dust) Reference: ETH

Modes of ice formation in clouds

HAIC - High Altitude Ice Crystals (314314)

Date 29/01/13

Page 3



Concept of ice growth

Crystal growth in convective clouds dominated by 3 major growth mechanisms:

- -Vapor diffusion (≈ ice supersaturation, temp (=max at -12°C)...): pristine ice
- -Riming (~ existing crystals, ~ important supercooled droplet concentration.,..): graupel, hail
- -aggregation (≈ crystal concentration.,..): snow flakes









→ Pristine ice: primary habits of ice



adapted from Lamb and Verlinde (2011)



- « Initial » matrix: hexagonale
- ➔ primary habit: determined by c/a ratio
- →growth of top/bottom faces: column
- →growth of 6 edges: plate
- →growth of 6 points: stellar



→ Pristine ice

Influence of supersaturation on crystal shape:

- Habit corners reach in areas of higher saturation than prism facets
- ➢ low ambient supersaturation: The sateration gradients in the farfield are decent so that the growth rate along the prism facets is continuous → plate shape
- ➢ <u>high ambient supersaturation</u>: Corners /discontinuities are surrounded by higher supersaturated air than facets → corner growth is accalerated → secondary plates, dendrites





reference: Lamb and Verlinde (2011)



## → Pristine ice: primary habits of ice



#### Diagramme morphologique:

-2°C: plaques
-5°C: colonnes (c>>a)
-15°C: plaques (c<<a)</li>
-30°C: colonnes
Forte humidité : formes plus complexes (dendrites, colonnes creuses)

## Circle-2: Des plaques....





→ Pristine ice: primary habits of ice





→ Pristine ice: primary habits of ice



Bailey and Hallett, 2004



→Riming versus aggregation





## →Riming = ice + liquid water

- Ice Growth by Collection -









→Aggregation = ice + ice

Surface adhesion:





- Occurs at temperatures little below freezing point
  - $\rightarrow$  Best cohesion efficiency at 0°C  $\rightarrow$  sticky surface

Ice crystal aggregations:



May occur if crystals have multi-branched surfaces
 function of temperature and supersaturation



reference: Wallace and Hobbs (2006)



→Aggregation = ice + ice

Sintering:



Quasi-random aggregation?



2D-S

Loose bonded surface molecules diffuse to a place of high curvature and

- strenghten the connection between two collided crystals
- $\rightarrow$  Occurs at temperatures arround 0° C

Dielectric driven aggregation?

## **Electrical field:**

- Electrical forces keep collided crystals stick together for a longer period of time
  - $\rightarrow$  Sinter process is forwarded/ connection can be built at lower temperatures



→ Aggregation = ice + ice

79515 s 1 -30 C





A lot of ice crystals are just irregular !

**		*	*	1		¥	¥	ŧ	*	¥	\$	4	+	ŧ	*	-	1		1	>	¥	•	*	ł	*	1	÷	*	ţ.	*	¥	
*		4		•	*	*	¥	-		•		*	×	-	- <b> </b>	•	*	*	*	*	-	*	*				\$	*	**	*	*	
¥	**	ŧ			•	¢	2	*	*	*	#	*	*	4	*	ĩ	*	*	٩	*	ž	ŧ	•	*	۱ ۴	*3	1		*	-   4	F	
ا الدي		-	¥	*	*	,			***		*	••	*	+	*	ŧ	*	-			-				-	*	#	3.		5		
- <b>1</b> 11		E		¥		•	*	*	\$	*	÷	٠	*	**		*	*	*				*	*	•	1	ž	*	1	:   •			
#	-			*	•		*	*		r -	*	• 1		•	4	4.	ł	**	*	×	•	**	¥	#	*		• •	•	*	H	*	



Concept of ice growth

Conlusion: Growth is endlessly complex.....









Particle Imagers: Optical Array Probes OAP, CCD camera

Particule Size Distribution (from 2D images)

Imagers : 2D-S and PIP (+CPI)



↓ (if no IKP)



50-1280 μm (resolution 10 μm)









## 30-2300 µm (resolution 2.3 µm)









**IKP** 

Particle spectrometers, bulk condensed water content devices

Particule Size Distribution (PSD, no images) : CDP or CPSPD (polarisation)

IWC - ICD

Water Content :

ROBUST

TWC - IKP, Robust probe LWC - 0.5 mm or 2 mm

CD



(if no CPI)











Instruments for optical particle properties

Scattering phase function (extinction, asymetry) :

Polar Nephelometer











In situ measurement challenges linked to the ice phase

1. Size/Aspect characterisation



Dmax ? Deq ? (diameter of a sphere of the same area) Roughness ? Sphericity ?

2. Particle size spectra

Needed to calculate reflectivities, in satellite inversion methods....

3. Ice density - Relationship between mass and size (and number !)  $m = \alpha D_{max}^{\beta}$ 

Ice density is a key parameter to link size and mass and also for modelling purposes (ice density is needed to calculate ice crystal growth velocity).



1. Size/Aspect characterisation

## Processing of 2D images :

 Extraction of parameters that describe the geometry of all individual hydrometeors: Maximum length (or D<sub>max</sub>), Width, Surface, Perimeter, Aspect ratio …



## LaMP vector analysis for CPI, 2D-S, and PIP data







## 2. Particle size spectra

#### Particles Size Distributions (PSD) : Concentration of Hydrometeors as a Function of D<sub>max</sub>:





## 2. Particle size spectra





Crystal shape classification according to geometric parameters extracted from single crystal analysis

At LaMP: 10 typical shapes defined



Source : Thesis G. Mioche, 2010



## **CPI imager: Shape classification**





## Megha-Trophique: Indian Ocean



Série temporelle vol 46, D>=100 microns, 15h25-18h11'40"







Scheme : Time dependent retrieval of m(D) from hydrometeor imagery and cloud radar reflectivities on F20 trajectory.





First step : all solutions are explored for a  $\beta$  exponent (lower chart) varying between 1 to 3.  $\alpha$  corresponding to  $\beta$  is color coded (legend)





Second step : all solutions of  $\beta$  and corresponding  $\alpha$  (constrained by T-Matrix simulations of RASTA reflectivities) are used to calculate CWC (light blue color below). Differences relatively "small". Question: what is the most realistic solution?



Standard deviation ~ 20%



# Mass-Diameter law from in-situ observations: 1. Surface of hydrometeors as a function of Dmax : Needed to calculate the terminal velocity of hydrometeors (Rain rate).

# Surface-Diameter relationship can be fitted by a power law.

- **2.** Relating the Mass-Diameter law by Surface-Law :
- Numerical Simulations of 3D hydrometeors (42000)
- Each hydrometeor is projected on a plane to simulate the projection of 3D hydrometeors on 2D surface (2D-S, PIP images)

```
=> linear relationship between \sigma and \beta
```





Special solution for  $\beta$  (derived from  $\sigma$  of area-diameter relation) and corresponding  $\alpha$  (constrained by T-Matrix simulations of RASTA reflectivities) as a time series.





Calculation of the IWC (CWC) and comparison of IWC retrievals

- a) Our method: Matching measured with simulated reflectivities via T-matrix
- b) Baker & Lawson (2006) method deriving unique geometric parameter X, then calculating IWC from X (crystal area A, width W, length L, perimeter P, sampling volume V)

$$X = \frac{2 \cdot A \cdot W(L+W)}{P} \qquad \square \qquad V = \frac{0.135 \cdot \sum_{i} X_{i}^{0.793}}{V}$$

c) Constant m-D relation for with  $\alpha$  = 0.010 and  $\beta$  = 2.1



Special solution of  $\beta$  and corresponding  $\alpha$  used to calculate CWC (red colored curve, below). In addition: CWC for solutions of  $\beta$  and corresponding  $\alpha$  in light blue below.





As before.

Black curve: Added CWC calculated from Baker and Lawson (2006) CWC parametrisation.





Statistics of pre-factor  $\alpha$  and exponent  $\beta$  from m(D) relations calculated for Megha-Tropiques MT2010 (African continent) and MT2011 (Indian Ocean) as a function of





## Impact of temperature on m(D) relation and CWC: here for MT1





## Sans conclusions