Direct evidence for dust growth in L183 from MIR light scattering

J. Steinacker^{1,2}, L. Pagani¹, A. Bacmann³, and S. Guieu⁴

- ¹ LERMA & UMR 8112 du CNRS, Observatoire de Paris, 61 Av. de l'Observatoire, 75014 Paris, France e-mail: stein@mpia.de
- Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie, Königstuhl 17, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany e-mail: stein@mpia.de
- ³ Laboratoire d'Astrophysique, Observatoire de Grenoble, BP 53, F-38041 Grenoble, Cedex 9, France e-mail: abacmann@ujf-grenoble.fr
- ⁴ Spitzer Science Center, 1200 E California Blvd, Mail Stop 220-6, Pasadena CA 91125, USA e-mail: Guieu@ipac.caltech.edu

Received 2009; accepted

ABSTRACT

Context. Theoretical arguments suggest that dust grains should grow in the dense cold parts of molecular clouds. Evidence for larger grains has so far been gathered in Near/Mid Infrared extinction and millimeter observations. Interpreting the data is, however, aggravated due to the complex interplay of density and dust properties (as well as temperature for thermal emission).

Aims. Direct evidence for larger particles can be derived from scattered mid-infrared (MIR) radiation from a molecular cloud observed in a spectral range where little or no emission from Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) is expected.

Methods. We present new Spitzer data of L183 in bands sensitive and non-sensitive to PAHs. The visual extinction A_V map derived in a former paper is fitted by a series of 3D Gaussian distribution. For different dust models, we calculate the scattered MIR radiation images of structures being in agreement with the A_V map and compare them to the Spitzer data.

Results. The Spitzer data of L183 show emission in the 3.6 and 4.5 μ m bands while the 5.8 μ m band shows slight absorption. PAH emission is expected to strongly increase from 4.5 to 5.8 μ m which is not seen. Hence, we interpret this emission to be MIR cloudshine. Scattered light modeling assuming interstellar medium dust grains without growth does not reproduce flux measurable by Spitzer. On the contrary, models with grains growing with density yield images with a flux and pattern comparable to the Spitzer images in the bands 3.6, 4.5, and 8.0 μ m.

Conclusions. There is direct evidence for dust grain growth in the inner part of L183 from the scattered light MIR images seen by Spitzer.

Key words. ISM: dust, extinction – ISM: clouds – ISM: individual object: L183 – Infrared: ISM – Radiative Transfer – Scattering

1. Introduction

Cold and dense cores of molecular clouds are commonly considered to be a favorable place for the growth of dust particles (see, e.g., Ossenkopf & Henning 1994). Evidence for the existence of grains being larger than commonly observed interstellar medium (ISM) grains have been gathered in different wavelength regions. The thermal emission of dust grains in the far-infrared (FIR) and millimeter (mm) wavelength range shows a change in the index of the spectral density distribution. Based on simple assumptions about the dust opacity law and the spatial and thermal structure, this is interpreted as indirect evidence for the existence of larger grains (e.g. Stepnik et al. 2003; Beuther et al. 2004; Ridderstad et al. 2006; Kiss et al. 2006; Schnee et al. 2008). On the contrary, Nutter et al. (2008) can model the Taurus Molecular Ring without any assumption about grown particles. In general, disentangling spatial variations in dust properties, density, and temperature is a challenge given the many parameters needed to describe the often clumpy or filamentary cloud structures. This is why, in view of this ambiguity, we call this *indirect* evidence.

Chapman et al. (2009), McClure (2009), and Chapman & Mundy (2009) have presented mid-infrared (MIR) extinction curves based on Spitzer and ground-based JHK band observation of stars behind molecular clouds. They find that the extinction curve changes as a function of increasing extinction. McClure (2009) investigates the ice features and concludes that a process involving ice is responsible for the changing shape of the extinction curve. Chapman et al. (2009) find that with increasing extinction, the extinction law is better fitted by models including larger grains. Similiarly, for the cores investigated in Chapman & Mundy (2009), the averaged extinction law from 3.6 to 8 μ m is consistent with a dust model including larger grains.

Extinction measurements at optical to MIR wavelengths have the advantage that the temperature of the dust grains no longer influences the results. The disadvantages are: (i) the method requires a radiation source like scattered light, diffuse emission from small grains or molecules, or background stellar or extragalactic emission. (ii) the dust extinction properties enter exponentially in the observed extinction of the external radiation so that the source flux,

if stellar or extragalactic, must be strong enough to be detectable.

Aside from the thermal emission of the grains, and their absorption, they also efficiently scatter radiation. The exploration of the physical properties and spatial distribution of dust particles via the observation of their scattered light was initiated long ago. References to early papers about this topic can be found, e.g., in Sandell & Mattila (1975). Common ISM grains with sizes around 0.1 μ m efficiently scatter optical and near-infrared (NIR) radiation so that images observed at these wavelengths can be used to study the spatial structure of the dust as well as its properties. This method has widely been used in star formation research, e.g. to analyze the spatial 3D structure of circumstellar disk candidates (see, e.g., Steinacker et al. 2006). Nakajima et al. (2003) interpreted the surface brightness seen in J. H. and Ks images of the Lupus 3 dark cloud as starlight scattered by dust. Foster & Goodman (2006) proposed to use this "cloudshine" as a general tracer of the column density of dense clouds and presented NIR scattered light images of the Perseus molecular cloud complex. Padoan et al. (2006) presented a corresponding method and tested it by calculating NIR scattered light images from the results of magnetohydrodynamic turbulence simulations, and by comparing the resulting column density distributions with the original simulation data. They argue that the method can be applied to filamentary cloud structures being illuminated by a homogenous radiation field in the range of visual extinction between 1 and 20 mag. The NIR cloud shine of a Corona Australis cloud filament was investigated in this way by Juvela et al. (2008).

It seems promising to observe scattered light from cores at longer wavelengths as the lower extinction allows to trace the inner parts. However, the scattering cross section of the ISM dust particles drops with wavelength once the wavelength is much larger than the particle size. Scattered light from cores at MIR wavelengths therefore was not reported so far and overlooked until now despite the fact that submillimeter observations are often explained by grain growth to sizes for which MIR scattering may become visible. Additionally, Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon (PAH) emission starts to dominate the emission pattern at most MIR wavelengths preventing the identification of scattered light.

It turns out that indeed the scattered light image analysis at MIR wavelengths opens up a window to trace bigger grains: (i) They scatter radiation efficiently at wavelengths for which the smaller grains already enter the regime of Rayleigh scattering where absorption dominates over scattering, (ii) the optical depth is reduced compared to the depth at NIR wavelengths so that denser regions can be traced with a better chance to see grown particles.

Observations with the Spitzer telescope allow to trace the relevant wavelengths and to check the existence of possible PAH emission by using a combination of filters, some including strong emission features and some excluding any. Nearby starless low- to mean-mass clouds are potential candidates to observe such a MIR cloud shine.

L183 (also known as L134N) is such a starless dark cloud high above the Galactic plane (36°) and therefore close to us (110 pc, Franco 1989). Its mass has been estimated to $\sim \! 80 \ \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ (Pagani et al. 2004, hereafter Paper I). It contains an elongated ridge of dense material with two pre-stellar cores and the main pre-stellar core has a peak extinction of

 $A_{\rm V} \approx 150$ mag with a dust temperature close to 7 K (Paper I). It was subsequently shown that the gas is thermalized with the dust in the core (Pagani et al. 2007).

In this paper, we present evidence for the existence of dust particles in L183 larger in size than common interstellar grains. The Spitzer observations of L183 are summarized in Sect. 2. In Sect. 3, we fit the $A_{\rm V}$ map presented in Paper I with a series of 3D density basis functions. The results of a scattered light image modeling are presented in Sect. 4 based on a dust growth model and compared to the different Spitzer images of L183. In Sect. 5, we summarize our findings.

2. Spitzer observations of L183

To describe different parts of the cloud, we will make reference to the A_V -map presented in Paper I (see their Fig. 1 for a detailed comparison) although it is a projected view on the spatial cloud structure. Throughout the paper, we will use the term *outer cloud region* for the parts of the L183 cloud were $A_V < 15$, (banana-shaped) *central part* for $15 < A_V < 90$, and *central core* for the densest region with $A_V > 90$, respectively.

The Spitzer IRAC (3.6 to 8 μ m, Fazio et al. 2004) observations of L183 were obtained as part of the Cycle 1 GTO program 94 (PI: Charles Lawrence). The observations were broken into two epochs, the astronomical observation requests (AORs) are both centered at 15h54m15.00s - 2d55m0.0s, they were obtained on the 23rd and 25th of August, 2005; the AORKEYs are 4921600 and 4921856 in the chronological order. Each AOR was constructed with the same strategy: at each map step, three dither positions were observed, each with high-dynamic-range exposures of 1.2 and 30 seconds.

In order to build the full mosaics of L183, we started with the Spitzer Science Center (SSC) pipeline-produced basic calibrated data (BCDs), version S14.0. We ran the IRAC Artifact Mitigation code written by S. Carey and available on the SSC website. We constructed a mosaic, for each epoch, from the corrected BCDs using the SSC mosaicking and point-source extraction (MOPEX) software (Makovoz & Marleau 2005), with a pixel size of $1.22'' \, \mathrm{px}^{-1}$, very close to the native pixel scale.

The inner parts of 3.6, 4.5, and 8 μ m mosaics are shown in Fig. 1. One can clearly see a banana-shaped structure (see also section 4.2) visible in emission at 3.6 and 4.5 μ m and in absorption at 8 μ m. The spatial structure resembles well the shape of the densest region of the cloud complex visible in the A_V map presented in Paper I. The 5.8 μ m image shows horizontal stripes and a strong vertical gradient in signal to noise ratio (S/N) so that only horizontal pieces of the upper part of the image contain reliable information on the flux. For this reason, we do not show the image here, and will not include it in the image modeling. The upper part of the central banana-shaped structures is seen in absorption in the reliable stripes. The white crosses in the 8 μ m image mark the region which has been modeled in this paper.

To show the magnitude of the emission and absorption features, we present in Fig. 2 cuts of the IRAC maps through the central core (declination $-2^{o}52'48''$) seen in absorption on all images. As the cut lies in a stripe of the 5.8 μ m image with an acceptable S/N, we also include the flux




Fig. 1. IRAC 3.6, 4.5 and $8\,\mu\rm m$ long exposure mosaics. Superposed to each image is the $A_V = 5~\& 10$ mag contours from the A_V map of Paper I. The white crosses in the $8~\mu\rm m$ image mark the region which has been modeled in this paper.




Fig. 2. Cuts from the IRAC maps (Fig. 1) at constant declination (-2°52′48″) through the dust peak in all 4 bands. The dotted line indicates the position of the dust peak. Excess emission is seen at 3.6 and 4.5 μ m while absorption dominates for the 5.8 and 8.0 μ m ranges.

in this band. The gray thin lines indicate an averaged external flux. From the cuts, the increase by almost two orders of magnitude in the external flux is visible when changing from 3.6 to 8 μ m.

The thermal emission of dust particles which are large enough to be considered as black body emitters can not produce a measurable flux given the low temperature of a few ten K (Pagani et al. 2004). Even if all dust particles in L183 would have a temperature of 40 K, the specific flux density measured on Earth at $\lambda=8~\mu{\rm m}$ would be $<7\times10^{-29}$ Jy/sr (assuming 0.1 $\mu{\rm m}$ -sized grains and a gas-to-dust mass ratio of 100), and lower for the shorter IRAC band wavelengths.

The emission in the Spitzer wavelength range could also be caused by smaller particles which are stochastically heated like PAHs. Fig. 3 illustrates the presence of PAH emission features in IRAC bands by showing the instrumental response of IRAC (gray areas) for the different

filters as a function of wavelength. The transmission of radiation through a layer of dust is plotted as a black dashed line (see Draine 2003a,b,c). The light-gray (red in the electronic version) line shows the expected location of PAH features (Draine & Li 2007).

Explaining the observed emission in the 3.6 and 4.5 μ m bands as the emission of stochastically heated particles (PAHs or small dust grains) is difficult for three reasons.

First, the PAH emission in the 5.8 μ m band should be a factor of about 14 (integrating the gray/red curve in Fig. 3) stronger than the emission in the 4.5 μ m band. The improved dust transmission in the 5.8 μ m band will amplify this effect. In turn, the external radiation at 5.8 μ m is about a factor of 10 stronger than at 4.5 μ m. Nevertheless, PAH emission should be seen at 5.8 μ m at least as strong as at 4.5 μ m. The cuts in Fig. 2, however, show a slight absorption for 5.8 μ m instead of emission like it is seen at 4.5 μ m.

Second, PAH emission models as presented e. g. in Draine & Li (2001) can be used to derive an estimate of the order of the flux value than can be expected for the outer parts of L183. Using the flux values of a typical strong NIR/MIR emitter like $C_{24}H_{12}$, 1.2×10^{-4} as cosmic abundance ratio C/H_2 , assuming 20% of the C to be locked in PAHs (Dwek et al. 1997), and a column length per pixel of 66000 AU where the H₂ number per m³ is 10^{10} , the expected specific flux density per pixel is of the order 10^{-5} Jy/sr which is 9 orders of magnitude lower than the flux density observed in the 3.6 μ m band. And this argumentation assumes only light $C_{24}H_{12}$ PAHs while more C might be locked in heavier PAHs which emit less in the IRAC bands.

Third, PAHs and small grains need an exciting UV to optical radiation field. The fact that the emission pattern resembles the shape of the inner banana-like structure leads to the question if the outer radiation field would be able to reach the relevant parts within the clumpy structure of the cloud.




Fig. 3. The gray areas are the instrumental response of each IRAC filters as a function of the wavelength. The light gray (red in the electronic version) line is a model of infrared emission from dust grains by Draine & Li (2007) (q_PAH=4.58% U=1). The black dashed line is a model of the dust extinction from Draine (see 2003a,b,c). The models are unit-less, they have been scaled to fit in the figure.

To investigate this further, we have performed detailed 3D ray-tracing for a large sample of structures being consistent with the column density information contained in the $A_{\rm V}$ map. The results are presented in Appendix A. Based on three-dimensional spatial structure variations and the analysis of τ -iso-surfaces we conclude that only a small fraction of all spatial structure models would allow for PAH emission near the central absorption peak. It seems therefore unlikely that the cloud complex has one of these rare configurations with a hole deep enough to let the interstellar radiation field (ISRF) reach the central part so that PAHs could be excited to produce the observed inner emission.

These three arguments suggest that PAHs fail as an explanation of the emission structure, and that small grains unlikely produce the emission because of the third argument. We therefore explore in this paper if the emission seen in the 3.6 and 4.5 $\mu \rm m$ filters can be interpreted as stellar light scattered by the dust particles in the cloud gas, and what dust properties would be needed to qualitatively reproduce the images.

3. $A_{\rm V}$ map modeling

Extinction maps average the three-dimensional (3D) density distribution of the molecular cloud over the line of sight (LoS). This adds an important source of ambiguity to the interpretation of the extinction map: each observed extinction value in the plane of sky is in agreement with a whole range of density distributions and dust properties. Hence, another source of information is needed to remove this ambiguity. This can be, e.g., a measurement at FIR/mm wavelengths. Multi-wavelength modeling of images from molec-




Fig. 4. Inner 66000 AU of the $A_{\rm V}$ map from Paper I (left) and the fitted map using 3D Gaussian clumps (right).


ular clouds and cloud cores incorporating the hidden third dimension has not been used widely so far, but is possible meanwhile (see, e.g., Steinacker et al. 2005).


Another source of information are scattered light images. They depend on the 3D spatial structure of the cloud, on the dust properties, and the external 3D radiation field.

In this work, we combine the information about L183 from the extinction map with the scattered light images obtained by Spitzer. To obtain a 3D dust density data cube required for the scattering calculations, we fit the available A_V map of L183 (Paper I) with a series of density basis functions flexible enough to deal with the complex spatial structure of the cloud. The fit of the 2D A_V map is done by determining the optical depth along the LoS through a 3D density structure described by the series. This means that parameters describing the spatial structure along the line of sight will not be determined by this fit. Instead, a library of possible spatial structures is created and used for the modeling. Details of the technique to fit the A_V map are described in Steinacker et al. (2005), here we just summarize the basic approach and refer to that paper for details. The dust properties are fixed for this determination to A = 0.1 μ m silicate spheres, but in later sections we re-interpret the obtained optical depths using other grain sizes.

The underlying 3D density structure is described by a series of 100 three-dimensional Gaussian density clumps with their main axes aligned with the Cartesian coordinate axes. The position and extent in the plane of sky of each Gaussian (4 parameters), and their normalization factor (5th parameter) are optimized using a special simulated annealing search tool (Thamm et al. 1994). The high number of Gaussians is necessary as the A_V map is very clumpy with many local maxima and structure on small and large scales. Tests with lower numbers of Gaussians could not reproduce the main features of the map adequately. It must be stressed however that the original map in Paper I is incomplete (lower limit to the extinction toward the northern prestellar core) and probably suffers from aliasing in the intermediate opacity range ($A_V = 20 - 40 \text{ mag}$) due to the small number of background objects.

In Fig. 4, we show the inner 66000 AU of the $A_{\rm V}$ map (left) and the corresponding fitted map using Gaussian clumps (right). The underlying Gaussian distribution is sketched in Fig. 5 by showing ellipses with the main axes of the Gaussians at their corresponding position in the plane of sky. The line thickness is a measure of the mass in the different clumps. The figure shows that the clumpy structure of the $A_{\rm V}$ map requires a series of basis functions in the








Fig. 6. Optical depth through a typical cell of the 3D density structure which varies due to the density in the cell, the grain size depending on this density, and the wavelength. Left: Optical depth as a function of the grain size (assuming dust mass conservation). The solid (dashed) line refers to absorption (scattering), the thick (thin) line to a wavelength of 0.55 μ m (3.6 μ m), respectively. Middle: Optical depth for dust following Eq. (1) as a function of the gas number density for absorption and scattering at $\lambda = 3.6 \ \mu$ m ($a_0 = 6 \times 10^{-8} \ \text{m}$, $\alpha = 0.4$, $n_{H_2;0} = 10^{10} \ \text{m}^{-3}$). Right: Absorption and scattering optical depth along a typical ray crossing one of the 10,000 3D density data cubes which fit the A_V map.




Fig. 5. Position and main axes of the Gaussian basis functions used to fit the inner part of the A_V map. The coordinates x and y are in the plane of sky.

inner 10000 AU along the x-axis to reproduce the banana-shape of the cloud center. In turn, some of the detailed spatial structures (e.g. in the lower left part of the A_V map) are represented by a low number of basis functions which indicates that the chosen number of 100 is still not sufficient to describe all features of the map.

The fit of the $A_{\rm V}$ map provides us with a column density structure, but the extent and position of the Gaussians along the line of sight is still unknown. To provide a basis for the fits of the scattered light images, we have created a library of 10000 3D density structures where the spatial structure parameters along the LoS were chosen randomly

given the outer physical borders and the constraint to agree with the fit of the A_V map as shown in Fig. 4.

4. Scattered light image modeling using a dust growth model

4.1. Dust model

It is expected that in the cold core environment, the particles grow to fluffy aggregates, vary in their chemical composition, and follow an entire size distribution (see, e.g., Ossenkopf 1993). While there are no direct measurements of the dust grain size distribution in dense cores, model calculations and laboratory experiments at least can provide rough estimates of the mean grain size as a function of the gas number density in one free-fall time (Flower et al. 2005). Therefore, we assume that the grains have properties approximately described by spherical homogeneous particles of one size and chemical composition. The radius of the grains a is assumed as a simple powerlaw of the H_2 number density n_{H_2} with the spectral index α

$$a = \begin{cases} a_0 \left(\frac{n_{H_2}}{n_{H_2;0}}\right)^{\alpha} & n_{H_2} > n_{H_2;0} \\ a_0 & n_{H_2} \le n_{H_2;0} \end{cases}$$
 (1)

so that the grains in regions below the threshold density $n_{H_2;0}$ have the size a_0 . The calculations leading to the best-fitting results described in this section have been performed with varying values for a_0 , $n_{H_2;0}$, and α . Poppe & Blum (1997), e.g., found a growth index of $\alpha = 0.25$ (see Flower et al. 2005, their Fig. 3, plotting the dependence in double–logarithmic scale).

In Sect. 3, we have modeled for each cell of our spatial grid the local optical depth for extinction $\tau_{ext}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda=0.55~\mu\mathrm{m}$ toward the observer. For the radiative transfer modeling of the Spitzer scattered light images, we need to calculate the optical depth for absorption and scattering at the Spitzer band wavelengths in each cell. If we know the grain size and the number density of these grains, n, in each cell, we can use existing opacity tables to find the cross

sections $\sigma_{abs/sca}(\lambda, a)$ and calculate the optical depths. To use Eq. (1), this requires to find the gas density from the derived local extinction $\tau_{ext}(0.55 \ \mu\text{m})$.

The optical depth across a Cartesian grid cell with the edge length s is

$$\tau_{ext}(0.55\mu\text{m}) = \sigma_{ext}(0.55\mu\text{m}, A) \ n_0 \ s,$$
 (2)

if all particles have the size A and $n_0=n(A)$. Assuming an internal density of each homogeneous grain ρ_d , the mass of a grain is $m_d=4\pi~A^3~\rho_d/3$, and the total dust mass in the cell volume is $n_0~m_d~s^3$. For a given mass gas-to-dust ratio $R_{g/d}$, this defines the H₂ mass $n_{H_2}~m_{H_2}~s^3$ and hence

$$n_{H_2} = \frac{4\pi A^3 \ \rho_d}{3m_{H_2}} \ R_{g/d} \ \frac{\tau_{ext}(0.55\mu m)}{\sigma_{ext}(0.55\mu m, A)} \ \frac{1}{s}. \eqno(3)$$

This allows us to calculate the H₂ densities for all cells, and using Eq. (1) the corresponding grain size. The corresponding number density $n(a) = n_0 \ A^3 \ a^{-3}$ results from the conservation of dust mass in the cell. Using the Draine & Lee (1984) opacities, we can then calculate the corresponding local MIR optical depth $\tau = \sigma_{abs/sca}(3.6\mu m, a) \ n(a) \ s$ for absorption and scattering needed for the radiative transfer modeling of the scattered light images.

The effect of grain growth on optical depth due to absorption and scattering is illustrated in Fig. 6. The left plot shows the optical depth for absorption and scattering through a typical cell of the 3D density structure for $\lambda = 0.55 \ \mu \text{m}$ and 3.6 μm as a function of the grain size. The grain numbers have been chosen to conserve the dust mass in the cell. For optical wavelengths, the change in the ratio of σ_{abs} and σ_{sca} is less than a factor of 4 over a tenfold increase in size. On the contrary, at 3.6 $\mu \mathrm{m},$ absorption becomes more efficient by a factor of 3 while scattering is amplified by a factor of 300. Even a moderate increase of grain size by a factor of 2 will result in about 10 times more efficient scattering. Correspondingly, the MIR wavelength range allows to see larger grains in scattered light as scattering becomes efficient again for larger gas number densities above about $n_{H_2;0}$. The dependence of the optical depth for absorption and scattering on the gas number density when using Eq. (1) is illustrated in the middle figure. In the densest regions, scattering dominates at 3.6 μ m. The right plot gives the optical depths for a typical ray cutting an entire density cube consistent with the A_V map of L183 and including grain growth. In this figure we see that as we cross clumps of high density, scattering becomes important and hence, scattered light should be seen near the densest regions in contrast with NIR light for which more scattering is seen in the outer parts.

Aside from the change in the scattering efficiency due to the dust particle growth, the scattering phase function describing how radiation is scattered from one direction to another also changes. Fig. 7 shows a typical phase function in polar coordinates of a homogeneous spherical silicate particle at a wavelength of 3.6 μ m (Steinacker et al. 2003) placed at the origin. While small ISM particles scatter almost isotropically at this wavelength, the scattering becomes peaked in the forward direction for larger grains.

This forward beaming can alter or even dominate the appearance of the scattering images. For the outer region of the core, the interstellar radiation field (ISRF) reaches the dust from many directions and is scattered almost isotropically. For the central region, mainly forward scattering




Fig. 7. Phase function in polar coordinates of a homogeneous spherical silicate particle for different particle sizes a at a wavelength of 3.6 μ m. The H₂ number densities corresponding to each a as defined in Eq. (1) are given in the lower right part.

dominates due to the presence of grown particles. The interstellar radiation from the direction of the observer will not be scattered in backward direction. Radiation hitting the core in the plane of the sky also has a low efficiency to be scattered by 90°. Only radiation from the background is scattered efficiently in forward direction toward the observer. But this radiation has to pass the central parts of the cloud first and will be seen only if the optical depth is still small enough that emerging flux can be detected. For Spitzer, this might actually limit the possibilities to observe the growth of grains in the regions of highest density. However, with the sensitivity of the Mid-Infrared instrument onboard the James Webb Space Telescope, the wavelength range $> 5~\mu \rm m$ will become important to trace larger grains.

4.2. Theoretical cloud shine images of L183 and dust modeling results

The presentation of the image modeling results in this section has two goals: (i) to demonstrate that scattered light images based on a density structure in agreement with the current extinction data of L183 can reproduce the main features of the observed Spitzer images, and (ii) to present evidence that only models with grain growth can reproduce the appearance of the central banana-shaped region in contrast to models with ISM grains without growth.

For the first goal, it is sufficient to present one image with a distinct set of parameters of the density structure model, the dust data, and the external field which shows overall agreement. The common approach for the second goal would be an automated fit and exploration of the parameter space quantifying the agreement on the basis of a χ^2 -minimization as we used it for the A_V-fit. An automated fitting procedure varying the many free parameters is prohibitive though as radiative transfer calculations applied to a clumpy 3D structure like L183 including scattering are time-consuming: for the images shown in this section, we have used 50^3 spatial grid cells and a discretization of the direction space in 648 directions leading to a intensity solution vector with 8.1×10^7 values. An automated fitting procedure would need to repeat the calculation of this vector




Fig. 8. Comparison of the three Spitzer images at 3.6, 4.5, and 8 μ m of the inner 66000 AU of L183 (top) with scattered light models based on grains growing as a function of density (bottom). The underlying 3D structure of the model images is consistent with the measured A_V map. The general pattern of the modeled diffuse emission is similar while the flux is about a factor of 2 lower in the model.

at least several ten thousand times to optimize the density structure.

Therefore, we present here the results of a non-automated exploration based on the 10000 spatial structures derived from the $A_{\rm V}$ map fitting as a representation of possible density distributions of the cloud. The quality of the fit was decided by eye: reproduction of the central banana-shaped region and its maximum in the northern part, the radiation depression in the central core, the overall agreement with the flux level observed with Spitzer, and the shape and location of the weak emission patterns in the outer region.

Using the ray-tracing version of our 3D radiative transfer code (Steinacker et al. 2003), we have selected spatial structures which yield images fitting the Spitzer 3.6 $\mu \rm m$ image for varying dust models. Then we have further changed both the structure and the dust parameters by hand to study the influence of each parameter and to find the best fitting parameter set. Finally, we have calculated the images at 4.5 and 8.0 $\mu \rm m$ for the same parameter set.

As external radiation field, we used the DIRBE data provided by the legacy archive microwave background data analysis (LAMBDA¹) to take into account the global

anisotropy of the ISRF. Moreover, a nearby star could be another (local) source of anisotropic illumination. Investigating the stars in the vicinity of L183, we found one star which could be near or in the cloud. In Appendix B, we discuss the spectral energy distribution and the resulting stellar properties. A star consistent with the SED and placed near or even in the outer parts of L183 would locally influence the scattered light image at 3.6 μ up to distances of 2000 AU from the star. In all other parts of L183, the ISRF dominates the radiation field. Therefore, we left the star out in our consideration like the other stars visible in the IRAC images.

Fig. 8 compares the Spitzer images with resulting scattered light model images. The upper images show the inner 66 000 AU of the cloud at 3.6, 4.5, and 8 μ m as observed by Spitzer (see Fig. 1).

Images calculated without growth of the grains were too low in scattered light flux at 3.6 μm for various ISM grain sizes: all fluxes were below 10^{-5} MJy/sr.

The lower images show the best-fitting model images at 3.6 (left), 4.5 (middle), and 8.0 μ m. The corresponding parameters of the growth model as described by Eq. (1) are $\alpha{=}0.4$ for the powerlaw index, a grain size in the outer region of $a_0 = 5 \times 10^{-8}$ m, and a H₂ number density threshold for growth at 3×10^{10} m⁻³.

¹ http://lambda.gsfc.nasa.gov

In the lower left image, the overall emission pattern is well-matched with more emission in the northern part. The model images show some peaks (e.g. directly below the central core) which obviously are not present in the Spitzer images. Investigating the underlying density structure, we found that those are attributed to single Gaussian clumps and the image peaks could not be removed by changing their LoS position or extent. We relate them to either a remaining error in fitting the $\rm A_{\rm V}$ map or to uncertainties in the $\rm A_{\rm V}$ map itself.

The lower middle $4.5~\mu m$ image also shows overall agreement with the corresponding Spitzer image (the same flux normalization is used as in the $3.6~\mu m$ image). The upper part of the central banana-shaped region is visible and the flux ratio in the observed and theoretical images $F_{4.5\mu m/3.6\mu m}$ is about 0.2. The $3.6~\mu m$ Spitzer image shows the central core in absorption and also in the $4.5~\mu m$ image this dip is weakly seen (compare with Fig. 2). In the model, the dip is reproduced at both wavelengths. The actual size of the dip is not reproduced due to the limited size of the computational cells.

For the 8 μ m image, a background flux value was interpolated from regions of low extinction in the Spitzer image and assumed as a constant background. Therefore, comparing the image with the Spitzer data has to be done with caution: bright regions in the lower right image correspond to regions where the background radiation experiences little extinction. The calculations include scattering (which is very low even in the presence of larger grains), but do not consider the effect of PAH emission by the cloud itself. This emission would lower the observed absorption effect.

As absorption dominates at all densities, the image reproduces the main features of the $A_{\rm V}$ map. It also reveals where the density structure model was still too coarse: single Gaussian clumps appear where the Spitzer image shows more complex absorption structures. The overall shape is nevertheless in agreement with the observed data. We also can not exclude the influence of variations in the background.

The fitting process revealed a prominent ambiguity in the obtained best-fitting dust growth parameter sets. Comparing the sets we found that they are based on the dominance of larger particles to create scattered light in the MIR. Hence, the images were not strongly sensitive to the density threshold and the outer particles size, as long as the outer particles are smaller than 0.1 μ m to avoid substantial scattered light flux in the outer parts. This ambiguity is visible in the growth law defined in Eq. (1): changing the density threshold by a factor f can be compensated by adjusting the size of the outer grains by a factor f^{α} to get the same shape of the grain growth. Contrarily changing the spectral index had a strong effect on the images. Already for $\alpha = 0.3$, the flux values were too low by a factor of 4.

In general, the flux of the theoretical images is about a factor of 2 lower than the observed flux. We show the specific flux density of model and observed image in Fig. 9 both as flat image and in surface representation to compare the actual values. As the stars confused the image substantially, we have processed the 3.6 IRAC image through a routine removing the stars. The routine considers the flux distribution of subimages and marks pixels with fluxes in the tail of the distribution, then interpolates them from the remaining pixels. The emission peak near the bright

star in the lower left part of the image is an artefact from the spread-out PSF of this star.

While the flux pattern could be reproduced by the model, the higher flux could not be achieved by changing the grain properties or the spatial structure. Within the simple dust model, one might consider that higher flux value can be achieved by assuming a stronger growth of particles with the bigger grains producing more scattered light. The increased extinction, however, damps this radiation more efficiently so that the emission of these grains can not be observed any more.

The flux will also change if we consider a more sophisticated dust model. As an example, if we consider elliptically shaped grains with perfect grain alignment to a large-scale magnetic field, the phase function and hence the scattered light flux will increase by a factor of 2 by assuming a moderate grain main axes ratio of just 2 (see, e. g., Voshchinnikov & Farafonov 1993).

It can be expected that an automated fitting and/or an improved modeling of the $A_{\rm V}$ map would remove most of the obvious peaked artefacts. Further improvement can be expected from improving the $A_{\rm V}$ map in the northern banana-shaped region because in the original study (Paper I), that part was not mapped with the MIR camera of the Infrared Space Observatory (ISOCAM), while the expected extinction reaches $A_{\rm V}\approx 60$ to 70 mag from mm measurements (themselves being somewhat insecure for technical problems with the bolometer). This was beyond the sensitivity of the H-K' colour reddening method used to map this part to complement the ISOCAM map. Lower limits in the range $A_{\rm V}=25$ –40 mag have been filled in instead which did not induce dense clump fitting in the 3D $A_{\rm V}$ model.

We note that in a more realistic model, larger grains might be expected to grow as a second population from the original seed population. So there is the normal Rayleigh scattering by most particles and some more efficient scattering of bigger grains. In the simple model in the paper, all grains are moved away from the Rayleigh regime when growth occurs.

In test runs, we also estimated the limit for the largest grains we are able to detect with our method in L183 based on our dust model. For 3.6 and 4.5 μ m, grains of about 1 μ m in size have the largest contribution to the scattered light flux. The flux from larger grains quickly drops due to the strong extinction within the dense regions.

An interesting point is the timescale of the growth process related to the evolution time scale of the core and cloud. Our growth model that we adopted from Flower et al. (2005) calculated the growth status after one free-fall time. We will further investigate this question in a forth-coming paper dealing with the growth and maximal sizes expected for molecular cloud cores.

To sum up, we refer to the two goals formulated at the beginning of this section: (i) we have demonstrated that scattered light images based on a density structure in agreement with the current extinction data of L183 can reproduce the main features of the observed Spitzer images. Some deviations arise from numerical artefacts or incompleteness of the extinction data, and are expected to be tackled with a better modeling; (ii) the presented images use a grain growth model and indeed the images are very sensitive to the threshold density where growth starts, to the starting grain size, and the growth efficiency. We find that models without dust growth do not produce a measur-




Fig. 9. Comparison of the specific flux density (z-axis) of the 3.6 μ m Spitzer image of the inner 66000 AU of L183 (right), processed through a star removal routine, with the scattered light model image (left). The general pattern of the modeled diffuse emission is similar while the flux is about a factor of 2 lower in the model.

able scattered light flux while a growth from grains with a size of about 0.05 μm starting at an H_2 number density of $3\times 10^{10}~m^{-3}$ can reproduce the emission pattern seen by Spitzer in the 3.6 and 4.5 μm filter.

5. Summary

In this paper, we have presented Spitzer maps of the dark cloud complex L183 which reveal emission at 3.6 and 4.5 μm and absorption at 8 μm near the densest regions of the cloud (A_V > 15). We have argued that the emission can not be attributed to thermal black body emission of larger dust grains which are too cold in this environment. Moreover, existing PAH emission models show a strong increase in emission from 4.5 to 5.8 μm which is not seen in the Spitzer images. As we have shown this is consistent with the expected low specific flux density level that can

be derived from PAH emission models for L183. Finally, a careful investigation of the density structure has confirmed that an excitation of stochastically heated particles (PAHs and small grains) is unlikely due to the optical depth at 3.6 μ m of almost all investigated spatial structure models for L183 (see Appendix A). We therefore suggested that this emission is scattered interstellar radiation.

To test this hypothesis, we have performed 3D radiative transfer calculations producing scattered light images of the central dense region where emission is seen. The density structures were derived from an automated fit of the $A_{\rm V}$ map for this region (from Paper I) by a series of 3D Gaussian number density functions. The missing information along the line-of-sight has been dealt with by creating a library of possible density structures in agreement with the $A_{\rm V}$ map.

Using simple silicate dust grains without growth, the produced scattered light flux was not sufficient to reproduce the observed image. This finding was independent of the chosen spatial structure and the dust grain size. The model included a realistic direction-dependent ISRF based on the DIRBE data which did not introduce new unknowns that could change this view.

This led us to consider grain models that allow for grain growth in the denser parts of the cloud. Assuming a simple growth law along the directions of recent laboratory measurements, we have investigated the changes in the optical depth and the scattering direction for photons propagating into regions with increasing density containing larger dust grains.

Within this model, we were able to reproduce the main features of the Spitzer observation in the 3.6, 4.5, and 8 μ m bands: (i) the emission arises mainly in the dense regions, (ii) the emission in the 4.5 μ m band is weaker than in the 3.6 μ m band and concentrated to the densest upper part of the banana-shaped central region, (iii) the central region appears in absorption at 8 μ m. The flux is smaller by a factor of about 2 in the model images compared to the 3.6 μ m flux measured by Spitzer. However, various uncertainties enter the modeling, namely the uncertainties in the A_V map, the errors in the A_V map fitting, the uncertainties in the extinction properties of the dust, the uncertainty in the scattering phase function, the approximations in the dust model, and the uncertainty in the ISRF.

The existence of a new MIR window to study the growth of grains in the dense parts of cores is due to an interplay of several effects: At MIR wavelengths, the moderate optical depth allows to observe dense regions where growth is expected. The MIR scattering efficiency of smaller grains is too low to detect them, but larger grains scatter more efficiently and become observable again.

From our results we conclude that this is the reason why we see emission in the 3.6 and 4.5 μ m Spitzer images of L183 as interstellar radiation scattered by dust particles grown in the dense region with respect to common ISM particles. Therefore, the Spitzer observations of L183 are the first direct evidence for dust growth in molecular cloud cores. In turn, we evaluate the evidence from the extinction measurement at MIR and from FIR/mm observations to be of *indirect* nature as there is an ambiguity to explain the data by either assuming larger grains or by modifying the density and temperature structure used in the LoS integration.

In a future paper, we will present a 3D model of the dust based on a revised A_V map and which will be strongly constrained by combining all available data from mm to NIR.

Acknowledgements. This research has made use of NASA's Astrophysics Data System Abstract Service. We are thankful to François Ménard, Nikolai Voshchinnikov, Thomas Henning, Cornelius Dullemond, and Roy van Boeckel for fruitful discussions and to Nicolas Flagey for his help with Spitzer data.

References

Beuther, H., Hunter, T. R., Zhang, Q., et al. 2004, ApJ, 616, L23 Chapman, N. L. & Mundy, L. G. 2009, ApJ, 699, 1866 Chapman, N. L., Mundy, L. G., Lai, S.-P., & Evans, N. J. 2009, ApJ, 690, 496

Cutri, R. M., Skrutskie, M. F., & Van Dyk, S. e. a. 2000, Explanatory Supplement to the 2MASS Second Incremental Data Release, Tech. rep., IPAC/Caltech

Draine, B. T. 2003a, ARA&A, 41, 241

Draine, B. T. 2003b, ApJ, 598, 1017

Draine, B. T. 2003c, ApJ, 598, 1026

Draine, B. T. & Lee, H. M. 1984, ApJ, 285, 89

Draine, B. T. & Li, A. 2001, ApJ, 551, 807

Draine, B. T. & Li, A. 2007, ApJ, 657, 810

Dwek, E., Arendt, R. G., Fixsen, D. J., et al. 1997, ApJ, 475, 565 Fazio, G. G., Hora, J. L., Allen, L. E., et al. 2004, ApJS, 154, 10 Flower, D. R., Pineau Des Forêts, G., & Walmsley, C. M. 2005, A&A,

Foster, J. B. & Goodman, A. A. 2006, ApJ, 636, L105

Franco, G. A. P. 1989, A&A, 223, 313

Juvela, M., Pelkonen, V.-M., Padoan, P., & Mattila, K. 2008, A&A, 480, 445

Kiss, C., Ábrahám, P., Laureijs, R. J., Moór, A., & Birkmann, S. M. 2006, MNRAS, 373, 1213

Makovoz, D. & Marleau, F. R. 2005, PASP, 117, 1113

McClure, M. 2009, ApJ, 693, L81

Monet, D. G., Levine, S. E., Canzian, B., et al. 2003, AJ, 125, 984 Nakajima, Y., Nagata, T., Sato, S., et al. 2003, AJ, 125, 1407

Nutter, D., Kirk, J. M., Stamatellos, D., & Ward-Thompson, D. 2008, MNRAS, 384, 755

Ossenkopf, V. 1993, A&A, 280, 617

Ossenkopf, V. & Henning, T. 1994, A&A, 291, 943 Padoan, P., Juvela, M., & Pelkonen, V.-M. 2006, ApJ, 636, L101 Pagani, L., Bacmann, A., Cabrit, S., & Vastel, C. 2007, A&A, 467,

Pagani, L., Bacmann, A., Motte, F., et al. 2004, A&A, 417, 605 Pagani, L., Lagache, G., Bacmann, A., et al. 2003, A&A, 406, L59 Poppe, T. & Blum, J. 1997, Advances in Space Research, 20, 1595 Ridderstad, M., Juvela, M., Lehtinen, K., Lemke, D., & Liljeström, T. 2006, A&A, 451, 961

Sandell, G. & Mattila, K. 1975, A&A, 42, 357

Schnee, S., Li, J., Goodman, A. A., & Sargent, A. I. 2008, ApJ, 684, 1228

Steinacker, J., Bacmann, A., Henning, T., Klessen, R., & Stickel, M. 2005, A&A, 434, 167


Steinacker, J., Chini, R., Nielbock, M., et al. 2006, A&A, 456, 1013 Steinacker, J., Henning, T., Bacmann, A., & Semenov, D. 2003, A&A,

Stepnik, B., Abergel, A., Bernard, J.-P., et al. 2003, A&A, 398, 551 Thamm, E., Steinacker, J., & Henning, T. 1994, A&A, 287, 493 Voshchinnikov, N. V. & Farafonov, V. G. 1993, Ap&SS, 204, 19

Appendix A: Exploring the applicability of a PAH model: Three-dimensional structure variations and τ -iso-surfaces

From the A_V map alone, it can not be excluded that stellar emission is approaching the inner parts of L183 to excite PAHs at the locations where emission is seen in Spitzer images. Projection effects can hide holes which would allow such excitation, and would not to be unlikely given the clumpy structure of the core. As the PAHs are excited by optical and UV photons we consider a wavelength of 0.55 μm as a conservative limit - if this radiation is not able to reach the PAHs then radiation at shorter wavelengths will not either. In this analysis, we define "reaching" as $\tau < 1$. We performed spatial structure analysis calculations to explore the cases for which PAH excitation by the ISRF is possible. Varying the extent and position of the Gaussians along the line-of-sight randomly we obtained 10000 spatial structures, each of it in agreement with the L183 A_V map.

In the following, we would like to examine which of these spatial structures would allow for a PAH emission model. To characterize each configuration, we have calculated the minimal distance of the $\tau_{0.55\mu m}=1$ -surface to the central density maximum D_m (as an indicator for density holes)






Fig. A.1. Minimal optical depth at 0.55 μ m τ_m to the central density maximum as a function of the distance of the $\tau_{0.55\mu m}=1$ -surface to the central density maximum. The upper and lower plots show spatial structures for which the central density maximum is located within and outside the inner 30000 AU of the spatial structure, respectively. The numbers in the lower right corner indicate the number of spatial structures in this category.

and the minimal optical depth at 0.55 μm toward the density maximum τ_m (as indicator for the heating of the center by the ISRF). The results are plotted in Fig. A.1 which relates both quantities.

To discriminate the spatial structures with a central density maximum close to the front or back part of the considered density cube (which is close to the low-density outer cloud part) and those which have the center in the middle part, we have plotted in the upper (lower) panels those spatial structures for which the central density maximum is located within (outside) the inner 30000 AU of the spatial structure, respectively. In the following, we refer to these two cases as *central* and *border* maximum spatial structures. Their numbers are given in the bottom right corner of each plot.

In the 3.6 μ m Spitzer image, emission is seen out to $D_E{=}3000$ AU measured in the plane of sky from the central density maximum. Assuming that the emission comes from the surface of a cylinder with this radius, the ISRF

has to enter the cloud down to this distance to excite PAHs. The dash-dotted line indicates this distance D_E and the $\tau_{0.55\mu m}=1$ -surface should be closer to the center or efficient PAH excitation will not be possible. As a conservative criterium, we assume that minimal distance of the $\tau_{0.55\mu m}=1$ -surface to the center should be smaller than D_E .

For most border maximum spatial structures and almost all central maximum spatial structures (right of the dash-dotted line) this is not the case: exciting stellar optical light can not reach the inner parts without being heavily extincted, PAH emission as an explanation for the observed emission can be excluded.

A few border maximum spatial structures reach low $\tau_m < 10$ values. The resulting temperature of the central density peak would be higher than the 7-9 K found by Pagani et al. (2003, 2004). Thus, also spatial structures below the dashed line at $\tau_m < 10$ are not consistent with the observational data.

Hence, we are left with spatial structures in the upper left corner of the two plots in Fig. A.1 to be candidates for possible PAH emission models. For the upper plot, the central density maximum can be located in the front part or in the back of the entire spatial structure. If it is located in the back, the excited PAH emission has to cross the entire spatial structure. Since $\tau_{3.6\mu m}$ is at least an order of magnitude smaller than $\tau_{0.5\mu m}$ (Draine & Lee 1984), still substantial extinction would decrease the intensity with $\tau_{3.6\mu m}$ of the order of a few to ten.

If it is located in the front, it will be well illuminated and hence expected to be seen in emission. Only if there is more flux created in the back by the other less dense clumps, it would be seen in absorption. This region between the other clumps and the foreground clump are well-shielded though: to excite PAHs, radiation has to either cross the other clumps or the foreground clump.

Limb brightening in an optically thick clump would produce a ring of high surface brightness and a dip in the centre. But such an external dense clump should be seen in the optical images of L183 as a small region with enhanced scattered light. But the DSS I and J images show only smooth scattered light on scales of the outer $\tau=1$ layer visible in the $A_{\rm V}$ map.

In view of these arguments, it appears unlikely that the central part of L183 has a density maximum being located near the edge of the spatial structure.

The remaining few central maximum spatial structures in the lower plot of Fig. A.1 left from the D_m dash-dotted line and with $\tau_m > 10$ are the only spatial structures with holes arranged in a way that a PAH emission model would work.

With 10000 random spatial structures, a parameter space of 200 parameters is not well-sampled, but the main optical depth effects are dominated by a few ten Gaussians. From this analysis, we hence conclude that a PAH model for the 3.6 μ m emission can not be excluded formally with the available data, but that from our sample of 3D spatial structures being consistent with the A_V map, only a very small fraction would allow for PAH emission near the central absorption peak which seems therefore unlikely.

Appendix B: A possible star within L183

Beside the ISRF, another source of illumination could be the presence of a nearby star, positioned at $\sim\!\!3'$ away from the reference position. This star, not visible in the blue POSS plates, nor in the red POSS I plate and hardly visible in the red POSS 2 plate, is bright in the infrared (Table B.1), implying that the star is attenuated by the cloud. We started by deriving a set of plausible reddening values (from the H and K' difference, we found A_V in the range 10 to 23 mag). For this range, no normal star (main sequence, giant, supergiant,...) could fit the data.

Table B.1. Star position and magnitudes

Position	R.A.	Dec	
(J2000)	$15^h 54^m 20.45^s$	$-02^{\circ}54'07.30''$	
Band (µm)	Brightness mag	Error mag	Ref
R	$(19.7)^{a}$		1
I	16.3	0.1	2
J	10.986	0.021	3
H	8.915	0.044	3
K	7.910	0.016	3
3.6	7.361	0.002	4
4.5	7.340	0.002	4
5.8	7.222	0.003	4
8	7.177	0.003	4
24	7.4	0.1	4

^a USNO-B calibrations are done in the original E band plate which somewhat differs from the standard R band (Monet et al. 2003)

References: (1) USNO-B (Monet et al. 2003), (2) unpublished data from Paper I, (3) 2MASS (Cutri et al. 2000), (4) this work.

Hence, we applied a more detailed analysis, by applying an automated SED fitting procedure based on the simulated annealing code (Thamm et al. 1994) which calculates the spectrum using an extincted Planck spectrum varying the effective stellar surface temperature T, the distance, the stellar radius R, and the column density of extincting material for ISM Si and C grains. Fig. B.1 shows the specific spectral energy density λF_{λ} as a function of λ . The data points are marked as thick crosses, no error bars have been considered as the given errors are small (see Tab. B.1). Comparing with an unextincted spectrum in the Rayleigh-Jeans limit (dotted line), no indication for IR excess is visible which would arise from the thermal emission of warm dust in a nearby disk and/or shell.

We tried two ways to fit the spectrum with a stellar spectrum that undergoes no extinction. The dashed line gives a fit for a Planck spectrum that has the same maximum as the observed SED ($T_* = 2400 \text{ K}$, $R_* > 3 \times 10^6 \text{ km}$, same distance as L183). Cool object spectra fail to reproduce the sharp turnover around the maximum without extinction. The dash-dotted lines shows the Planck spectrum without extinction that follows the data where the deviation from the Rayleigh-Jeans spectrum occurs ($T_* = 7000 \text{ K}$, $R_* > 2 \times 10^6 \text{ km}$), but the flux decrease is too weak toward shorter wavelengths. Hotter objects can not repro-




Fig. B.1. Specific spectral energy density as a function of the wavelength. The observed data points are shown as thick crosses. Three fits are displayed without extinction are displayed: a spectrum in the Rayleigh-Jeans limit (dotted), a Planck spectrum having the same maximum as the observed SED (dashed), and a Planck spectrum that follows the data where the deviation from the Rayleigh-Jeans spectrum occurs (dashed-dotted). For the case of extincted stellar emission, two spectra are shown: a Planck spectrum of a star being extincted by silicate grains (thick solid), and by carbon grains (dash-three dotted), respectively.

duce the sharp turnover either. We therefore concluded that extinction is needed to achieve better fits.

Putting a layer of extincting dust in front, we used the SED optimizer to investigate two cases: (i) silicate grains (solid thick line) and (ii) carbon grains (solid dash-three dotted line) (Draine & Lee 1984). The thick solid line gives the fitted spectrum for silicate grains, the dash-three dotted line for carbon grains. Both fits failed to reproduce the SED with good accuracy. In these models, the temperature was around $T_* = 7000$ K and the extinction was about τ =5 to 9 depending on the dust model. It would need a more sophisticated dust and/or stellar atmosphere model to improve the fits. Given the projected position in the extinction map, the optical depth from the A_V map for the star when sitting behind the core would be 10 to 15. This value is of the same order as the extinction derived from the SED fitting. We conclude that from these data the star is not in front of the cloud.

We have calculated the flux ratio of a star consistent with the SED fits at various distances from L183 and the ISRF at 3.6 $\mu \rm m$ using DIRBE data. We find that the radiation field is dominated by the star up to about a distance of 2000 AU and then the stellar influence drops quickly due to the quadratical decrease with distance. If the star would be placed near L183 or even exactly at the same distance to Earth as the density maximum, it still would be located in the outer parts of L183 as evident from Fig. 1 and we would expect only a very local (a few thousand AU) in-

fluence on the scattered light pattern, while for the entire banana-shaped region the ISRF dominates. The potential influence of the star being placed near L183 is further weakened by the fact that it had to be near a dense region with grown particles to produce scattered light at 3.6 micron.