

Science with IRAM-NOEMA

Jérôme PETY (IRAM & Obs. de Paris)

Obs. de Paris, Sep. 19th, 2014



Comet Hartley 2 with the Plateau de Bure Interferometer

Boissier et al. 2014, Icarus, 228, 197

-Maps+time variations (nucleus complex rotation): Gas production mainly from the nucleus small lobe -OnOff/Interferometric flux ratio: Significant outgassing from icy grains

Full agreement with simultaneous fly by observations

Deep Impact observations (4 Nov.) : Gas jets (letf) and snowballs (right) around the nucleus









Up to 25% of the disk population in Taurus Potential link with compact planetary systems found by the Kepler mission?

Science with IRAM-NOEMA

J. Pety 2014

The Horsehead WHISPER line survey (PI: Pety, Guzmán, Gratier et al.) Wideband High-resolution Iram-30m Surveys at two Positions with Emir Receivers

IRAM-30m telescope

 \sim 75 hours per position

	1 mm	2 mm	3 mm
Bandwidth	73 GHz	34 GHz	36 GHz
Resolution	195 kHz	49 kHz	49 kHz
Sensitivity	8.6 mK	18.5 mK	8.1 mK

2 positions observed \Rightarrow Detailed comparison of the chemistry of UV-illuminated and UV-shielded gas

30 species (plus their isotopologues) are detected with up to 7 atoms







CF⁺ emission in the Horsehead



CF^+ as a proxy of C^+ and a measure of [F]

So?

10¹³

 $N(CF^+) cm^{-2}$

 10^{-9}

Observed

C⁺: Herschel (12"), SOFIA (15")

 CF^+ can be used as a tracer of C^+ associated to molecular gas that can be observed from the ground

F/H

Simple chemistry: $N(CF^+) \propto [F]$ $F/H \simeq (0.6 - 1.5) \times 10^{-8}$



A new species in the ISM: Tentatively attributed to C_3H^+



- Consistent set of 8 unidentified lines towards the PDR position.
- Linear rotor, with a ¹Σ electronic ground state.
- The deduced rotational constant is close to that of *I* – C₃H.
- Reactive molecule with a spatial distribution similar to small hydrocarbon chains.
 - \Rightarrow Most probable candidate: C₃H⁺



Formation of the small hydrocarbons: Top-Down chemistry



$$C_{2}H_{2} \xrightarrow{C^{+}} C_{3}H^{+} \xrightarrow{H_{2}} C_{3}H_{2}^{+} \xrightarrow{e^{-}} C_{3}H$$

$$\xrightarrow{H_{2}} C_{3}H_{3}^{+} \xrightarrow{e^{-}} C_{3}H_{2}$$

- [C₃H⁺] = 2 ± 0.7 × 10^{−11} Consistent with gas-phase model
- [C₂H] and [C₃H₂] are 1-2 orders of magnitude higher than in models
- Good correlation between PAH and hydrocarbons emission

UV-field fragments PAHs and small grains into small hydrocarbons



Complex molecules: Grain surface chemistry



- Hot cores
- Hot corino
- High UV illuminated PDRs
- $T_{\text{sublimation}} \simeq 40 \text{ K} (\text{H}_2\text{CO})$
- $T_{\text{sublimation}} \simeq 80 \text{ K} (CH_3OH)$

- UV-shielded dense cores (secondary photons)
- Low UV illuminated PDRs

In the Horsehead $T_{dust} \simeq 20 - 30$ K \rightarrow Clean environment to isolate the role of photodesorption.

Gas-phase vs. Grain surface chemistry



Guzmán et al. 2013

PDR models: Meudon PDR Code

Evelyne Roueff Le Petit et al. (2006) Le Bourlot et al. (2012)

- Pure gas-phase H₂CO: CORE ✓, PDR ✗ CH₃OH: CORE: ✗, PDR ✗
- ► Gas-phase + grain surface

 \Rightarrow Photo-desorption is needed to explain the observed H₂CO and CH₃OH abundance in the PDR.

High-angular resolution (6") PdBI maps



Different H₂CO formation mechanism?

PDR: grain surface Dense core: gas-phase

Evidence:

- Different ortho-to-para ratio (2 in the PDR, 3 in the core)
- Spatial distribution → CH₃OH depletion at core
- Radiative transfer analysis of CH₃OH yields lower gas density at core
- Pure gas-phase model can reproduce H₂CO abundance at the dense core

CH₃OH:

 \rightarrow envelope around dense core

 H_2CO :

 \rightarrow envelope and dense core itself

Nitriles in the Horsehead: CH₃CN and HC₃N

Gratier et al. 2013



- Enhanced abundance of precursors in the PDR
- UV photo-processing of N bearing ices (C₂H₅NH₂) followed by photodesorption (Danger et al. 2011)

The anatomy of a Giant Molecular Cloud: Orion B

PI: J.Pety, P.Gratier, V.Guzman, P.Tremblin S.Bardeau, M.Gerin, J.Goicoechea, F.LePetit, H.Liszt, R.Lucas, K.Oberg, N.Peretto, E.Roueff, and A.Sievers



Integration time 124 hours (42 hours in Aug. 2013, 24 hours in winter 2014, 58 hours in Aug. 2014).

- Field of view $0.81 \times 1.10^{\circ}$, *i.e.*, 0.9 square degree.
- **Observing mode** Position switched On-The-Fly (mostly a single coverage).
- Spatial resolution From 22.5" to 30.5", *i.e.* Nyquist sampling \Rightarrow images of 315 × 420 pixels.
- Bandwidth 32 GHz (2 tunings) from 84 to 116 GHz.
- Spectral resolution 200 kHz resolution, *i.e.*, $0.5 0.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.
- Number of channels \Rightarrow 160 000 channels, *i.e.*, at 24 images per seconds, it makes a movies of 1h50!
- Field of view \times channels 144000 channel x square degree (*i.e.*, the equivalent of twice of the sky in 5 days!).

Median noise level 0.1 to $0.5 \text{ K} (T_{\text{mb}})$.

A sea of noise Clear signal detected in ~ 800 channels, or 0.5% of the data (a video of about 30 seconds).

Data size 900 GB of raw data.

PAWS ID card (http://www.mpia.de/PAWS)
E. Schinnerer (PI), A. Hughes, S. Meidt, D. Colombo, J.Pety, A. Leroy
S. Garcia-Burillo, G. Dumas, K. Schuster, C.Kramer, C. Dobbs, T. Thompson



Observations and data reduction:

- Mosaic of 60 fields at 3 mm, *i.e.*, ¹²CO (J=1-0).
 - 170 hours of PdBI time + 40 hours of IRAM 30m time.
 - On-source time: 8 hr in D, 15 hr in C, 43 hr in B and 60 hr in A configuration

 \Rightarrow 454 000 visibilities \times 1024 channels.

 Imaging and deconvolution require images of 2 Mpixels (in fine: only 36 000 fully independant pixels).

 \Rightarrow 40 hours to deconvolve 120 channels (up to 320000 components per channel).

Facts

Distance 7.6 ± 1 Mpc. Inclination $21 \pm 3^{\circ}$. Position angle $173 \pm 3^{\circ}$. Field of view $270'' \times 170''$ or 10×6 kpc. Final resolution $\sim 1.1''$ or ~ 40 pc $\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{Sensibility} & \sim 0.4 \ \mbox{K}[T_{mb}] \ \mbox{in} \ 5 \ \mbox{km} \ \mbox{s}^{-1} \\ \mbox{Total CO luminosity} & 1.4 \times 10^9 \ \mbox{K} \ \mbox{km} \ \mbox{s}^{-1} \ \mbox{pc}^2 \\ \mbox{Total molecular mass} & 6.2 \times 10^9 \ \mbox{M}_{\odot} \\ \mbox{Mean brightness} & 7.6 \ \mbox{K} \ \mbox{km} \ \mbox{s}^{-1} \\ \mbox{Mean mass surface density} & 33 \ \mbox{M}_{\odot} \ \mbox{pc}^2 \end{array}$

Why/How HD TV changes your life



 $M_{\text{H}_2} = 4 \times 10^9 \,\text{M}_{\odot}$ in PAWS FoV using the standard X_{CO} factor (Pety et al. 2013)



55% of the total CO flux can be attributed to 1,500 GMCs (Colombo et al. 2014a)



 \Rightarrow Where is the rest?



Integrated emission [K.km.s⁻¹]

PdBI-only component

Bright From 2 to 16 K with a median of 2.5 K.

Compact It fills only $\sim 2\%$ of the surface.

Filtered component

Faint From 0.07 to 1.36 K with a median of 0.14 K.

Extended It fills $\sim 30\%$ of the surface.

Science with IRAM-NOEMA

A CO diffuse thick disk in M51: A dense and diffuse components of very different vertical scale heights, which probably mix in the galactic plane. (Pety et al., 2013)

Relative linewidths

Fact The filtered component has a velocity dispersion at least twice as large as the compact component.

- **Interpretation (using Koyama & Ostriker 2009)** The extended component has a Gaussian scale height $(\sim 200 \,\text{pc})$ typically 5 times as large as the compact component one $(\sim 40 \,\text{pc})$. The Galaxy scale height is 57 pc (Ferriere 2001, Cox 2005).
- **Consequence** The extended component average density $(1H_2 \text{ cm}^{-3})$ is one order of magnitude lower than the compact component one $(10H_2 \text{ cm}^{-3})$. The Galaxy average density is $0.29H_2 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (Ferriere 2001, Cox 2005).

 $P_{\text{int}} \sim P_{\text{ext}} \Rightarrow$ Clouds must "know" about their environment (Hughes et al. 2013b)



Multi-wavelength comparison: I. ¹²CO (J=1–0) (Schinnerer et al. 2013)



Multi-wavelength comparison: II. HST I-H (Cold dust reddening) (Schinnerer et al. 2013)



Multi-wavelength comparison: III. PACS 70 μ m (Hot dust) (Schinnerer et al. 2013)



Multi-wavelength comparison: IV. HST H α (HII regions \Rightarrow OB stars) (Schinnerer et al. 2013)



Multi-wavelength comparison: V. Galex FUV (Stars younger than 100 Myr) (Schinnerer et al. 2013)



Multi-wavelength comparison (Schinnerer et al. 2013)



Molecular ring Coincident star formation Spiral arm, inside spiral corotation Suppressed star formation. Spiral arm, outside spiral corotation Offset star formation.

SINGS H α Spitzer 8 μ m ¹²CO (J=1-0)

Streaming increases gas depletion time (Meidt et al. 2013)



- $P_{\text{int}} \sim P_{\text{ext}} \Rightarrow$ Surface pressure is relevant.
- Larger streaming motions (Hughes et al. 2013).
 - \Rightarrow Reduced surface pressure.
 - \Rightarrow Increase the mass threshold for gravitational collapse.
 - \Rightarrow Reduced % of gravitationally unstable clouds.
 - \Rightarrow Lower star formation efficiency.







- No optical counterpart \Rightarrow only known SMG at z = 5.2.
- Dust at $158 \,\mu$ m nearly optically thick.
- The two Gaussian component in velocity are distincts 1-2 kpc objects separated by 2 kpc.
- $L'(C^+) \sim L(CO1 0) \Rightarrow$ Starburst.

Science with IRAM-NOEMA

Topics

- Star formation throughout the history of the universe.
- Galaxy formation and evolution.
- Interstellar chemistry, star and planet formation and the potential impact on the emergence of life.
- Stellar death.
- Study of solar system.

Political aspects

- Enable large, statistically significant surveys.
- Provide easy and flexible access to discovery space.
- Generate full sky coverage in the millimeter range.
- Enhance IRAM patners use of ALMA.